1 - METHOD BACKGROUND						
NAME OR COD			HEM - Hydromorphological monitoring			
COUNTRY	`E		Czech Republic			
KEY REFERENC WEBPAGE	ΣE		Langhammer (2007) http://www.ochranavod.cz/cz/voda			
			The aim is to evaluate the hydromorphological characteristics of rivers in accordance to			
CATEGORY			CEN standards			
2 - METHOD (	CHARACTERISTI	CS	The method uses historical maps to compare the present state to the state before the			
A - SOURCE OF INFORMATION / DATA COLLECTION Field survey Rapid field asso Existing database		Maps/Remote sensing	industrial development Field mapping (and scoring). Depending on indicator: direct measures (e.g. width),			
		Field survey	estimation of % (range, e.g. variability of the longitudinal profile), presence/absence			
		Rapid field assessment	NOT APPLICABLE			
		Existing database	Data from existing databases are used in the assessment (rating) protocol. Hydrological data series are used to assess hydrological changes			
		Modelling	NOT APPLICABLE			
	HIERACHICAL	River catchment/Water body/	The method assesses single features, then attributes a score to each river zone (main			
	SPATIAL SCALE	Reach/Cross Section	groups of parameters), and then assigns a final score to the reach. Several scores for several reaches can be used (averaged) to obtain a final value for the water body			
		Fixed length	NOT APPLICABLE			
B - SPATIAL	LONGITUDINAL SPATIAL	Scaled to channel width	10m  width = 100m  long; $30m  width = 500m  long$ ; $> 30m  width = 1  km$			
SCALE	SCALE	Variable length	The main criterion is to identify homogenous flow reaches and homogenous floodplain			
	LATERAL	Channel	characters. If the reach is too long, the criterion "length vs. width" is applied Channel pattern and channel bed			
	SPATIAL	Banks/Riparian zones	Left and right banks assessed separately. Riparian area is assessed in a strip of 50m wide			
	SCALE	Floodplain	All the floodplain width is assessed			
C TEMPODAL	SCALE	Physical and morphological	It assess the present states, but makes comparison (and maps) to the state before the			
C - TEMPORAL	SCALE	assessment Hydrological assessment	industrial age Average daily and annual flow			
		, ,	The method makes firstly a feature mapping (frequency or extent) and then it rates			
		Characterization/classification	features			
D - TYPE OF METHOD		Assessment by index	The rating system is based on the principle of individual scoring parameters, evaluated from the perspective of their impact on stream hydromorphological quality. Then it calculates the partial hymo quality score for each zone/main group of parameters (4 sub-indices); parameters are weighted to emphasize the influence of key indicators on hymo conditions; then it attributes a final index, the HMK (averaging 4 sub-index) to the reach. The hymo quality of a water body (HMKvu) corresponds to the average of hymo quality of			
		Deviation from reference	its reaches, weighted by their length The method assesses the deviation from potential natural flow conditions			
		General assessment / Design	·			
		framework	NOT APPLICABLE			
		Modelling status / Scenario	NOT APPLICABLE  The consists system (for each indicator) is defined by experts, weighting never between for			
		Final expert judgment	The scoring system (for each indicator) is defined by experts; weighting parameters for indicators assessment are settled by the authors			
		Links with other systems	NOT APPLICABLE			
E - REFERENCE CONDITIONS			The highest hydromorphological quality corresponds to a potential natural flow conditions with the highest variability. The reference condition state is defined as: 1) totally or near totally undisturbed conditions in terms of flow regime (quantity and dynamic) and connection to GW; 2) natural flow longitudinal continuity conditions (sediment, flow and organisms); 3) Riverbed/banks/riparian zones conditions and structures correspond totally or nearly totally to undisturbed conditions (hymo quality value close to 1 and not higher than 1.7)			
	RIVER TYPOLOGY TYPOLOGY LIMITATIONS		NOT AVAILABLE (Similar to Germany: 53 river types) NOT AVAILABLE			
	TYPE-SPECIFIC	(Protocol / Assessment	NOT AVAILABLE			
	method) BASIS FOR STANDARDS / THRESHOLDS		Indicators are scored on a 1-5 scale (1 the best, 5 the worst), in comparison to the potential natural flow conditions; values are based on expert judgment, field validation and comparison with analogous methodologies available. Values are weighted to emphasize the relative importance of indicators to determine hymo conditions; weighting values are settled by author. The score for water body is also weighted by the length of the included reaches. The final index allow to a 5 class classification of hymo quality state			
E 051:55:	REACH SCALE SURVEY STRATEGY TIMING AND FREQUENCY		All the selected reach is assessed including its floodplain (riparian zone within 50m from			
F - GENERAL INFORMATION			the channel) It is recommended to apply method in low flow period and every 6 years Maps showing the scoring values			
	DATA PRESENTATION (OUTPUT/LAYOUT)		HEM field mapping (monitoring) methodology (Langhammer, 2007) and HEM scoring			
	METHOD SUPPORT / APPLICATION TOOLS		system (Langhammer, 2008)			
	SPATIAL COMPARISON		NOT AVAILABLE The method is used to support the assessment of ecological status (survey and			
	CONNECTION TO ECOLOGY		monitoring) of rivers			
	USERS		The method is used to support the assessment and monitoring of ecological status for the			
	SCALE INFORMATION		implementation of the WFD Method collects/provides info only at the reach scale			
	NUMBER OF END PARAMETERS		17 parameters organised into 4 main groups: channel pattern (5), channel bed (4),			
	DER OF EIN		riparian and floodplain zones (4), hydrological regime (4)			

3. RECORDED		LA DA CTERIOTICO	NOT ADDIVIOUS
	LARGE SCALE CHARACTERISTICS		NOT APPLICABLE
A - CATCHMENT / VALLEY	HYDROLOGICAL REGIME	Hydrological conditions	Hydrological conditions/characters (waterfall, cascade, tidal stream, pools, backwaters); influence on the hydrological regime (unchanged, periodic backwater, flow control, abstraction) and water flow conditions
		Metrics of hydrological regime	Flow variability/variation (average daily and annual flow, minimum 3 years period)
	VALLEY FORM / F	Hydro-peaking	NOT APPLICABLE NOT APPLICABLE
	VALLEY FORM / FEATURES		Channel pattern conditions (braided meandering, straight, etc.) at present and in the
B - CHANNEL	CHANNEL PATTERN / PLANFORM		past, variability of channel width  Variability of depth in the cross section (high, medium, natural/related to channelization,
	CHANNEL FORMS		low); channel bed structures (islands, not structures, etc.) Variability in the longitudinal profile (% range, artificially increased/reduced); channel bed
	BED CONFIGURATION		morphology (pools, rapids, etc.)
	CHANNEL DIMENSIONS		Channel width (max & min); variability of channel width; Variability of depth in the cross section
	FLOW-TYPE PHYSICAL / HYDRAULIC VARIABLES		NOT APPLICABLE NOT APPLICABLE
	SUBSTRATE		Channel bed substrate (boulders> clay, peat, artificial)
	IN-CHANNEL VEGETATION		NOT APPLICABLE
	WOODY DEBRIS ARTIFICIAL FEATURES AND STRUCTURES		Dead wood in the channel (number, range) Channel bed conditions (reinforcement, culvert, artificial sediment input, no evidence of artificial impact, etc.); Longitudinal continuity conditions (dams, weirs, fish passages)
	BANK PROFILE / SHAPE		Variability of depth in the cross section (high, medium, natural/related to channelization, low)
	BANK MATERIAL		NOT APPLICABLE
		ATION STRUCTURE	River bank vegetation structure (high herbs, shrubs, trees, no vegetation on banks)
C - RIVER	LONGITUDINAL C VEGETATION	CONTINUITY OF RIPARIAN	Intermittent vegetation belts
BANKS/ RIPARIAN	RIPARIAN VEGETATION WIDTH		NOT APPLICABLE
ZONE		MPOSITION, COVERAGE AND VEGETATION CHARACT.	Natural forest, economic forest, galleries vegetation
	ARTIFICIAL FEATURES AND STRUCTURES		Bank conditions (gabions, blocks, reinforcement, any evidence of impact, etc.); Variability of depth in the cross section (high, medium, natural/due to channelization, low) Riparian zone land use (forest, meadow, pasture, Lakes, agricultural area, urban,
	LAND USE		industrial)
D -	FLUVIAL FORMS INFO ON FLOODP	LAIN FEATURES	NOT APPLICABLE NOT APPLICABLE
	LAND USE		Floodplain land use (forest, meadow, pasture, Lakes, agricultural area, urban, industrial)
4. RIVER PRO		Cadina art and aread	Language of the standard of the second of th
A - LONGITUDI CONTINUITY		Sediment and wood Water flow	Longitudinal continuity conditions (dams, weirs, fish passages) Longitudinal continuity conditions (dams, weirs, fish passages)
B - LATERAL CO	ONTINITITY	Lateral hydraulic continuity	Continuity with floodplain (number and/or % of buildings along the river, levees, embankments, longitudinal dykes)
B BITEIUE O		Sediment (and wood) lateral continuity	NOT APPLICABLE
C - BANK EROSION / STABILITY			NOT APPLICABLE
E - CHANNEL A	E - CHANNEL ADJUSTMENTS Planimetric (pattern & width) Vertical		River planform modification (straightening, widening, historical conditions, etc.) Variability in the longitudinal profile (% range, artificially increased/reduced)
	F - VERTICAL CONTINUITY Groundwater connection		Water abstraction is assessed. Groundwater connection is also taken into account in the definition of reference sites
5. APPLICATI	ON TO WFD		
OFFICIAL METH METHOD (not o		entation) / COMMONLY USED	It was recommended as a standard method for hydromorphological surveying by the Ministry of Environment in the Czech Republic in 2008 (Matouskova et al., 2010), based on the EN 14614 standard
		DIES F HIGH-STATUS / OTHER	The method seems to be applied to all water bodies at least in CR  It is used in the classification of high/reference biological status in the absence of reference sites
	ICT RISK OF DETE	RIORATION	Given that it is adopted used in the monitoring programs, it could be used to predict the
	TIFY IMPROVEMEN		risk of deterioration It is used in monitoring programs
USED TO HELP IDENTIFY CAUSE OF ECOLOGICAL IMPACTS			The method has been developed to support hymo quality assessment for the classification of ecological status: it has been applied in priority at sites/water bodies where ecological data were available
KEY STRENGTHS FOR RIVER MANAGEMENT			It complies with WFD requirements; both mapping/inventory and assessment protocols/phases; it is based on expert knowledge (low subjectivity)