

| 1 - METHOD BACKGROUND | | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| NAME OR CODE | MQI - Morphological Quality Index | | |
| COUNTRY | Italy | | |
| KEY REFERENCE | Rinaldi et al. (2013) | | |
| WEBPAGE | | | |
| CATEGORY | The method aims to assess the morphological quality of rivers based on river geomorphic forms and processes | | |
| 2 - METHOD CHARACTERISTICS | | | |
| A - SOURCE OF INFORMATION / DATA COLLECTION | Maps/Remote sensing | Maps (e.g. topographic, geological, geomorphological), and remote sensing data (e.g. aerial images, DEM) are used in the first part of segmentation of the river network, in the historical analysis (Channel Changes), as well as most of the features in the evaluation form | |
| | Field survey | Field survey is accomplished at one or more representative sub-reaches ('sites') | |
| | Rapid field assessment | NOT APPLICABLE | |
| | Existing database | Inventory of artificial intervention (if existing), information on river management/practices (e.g. sediment / wood removal) from public agencies | |
| | Modelling | NOT APPLICABLE | |
| B - SPATIAL SCALE | HIERARCHICAL SPATIAL SCALE | River catchment/Water body/ Reach/Cross Section | The method adopts a hierarchical nested approach where the reach represents the basic spatial unit of assessment |
| | LONGITUDINAL SPATIAL SCALE | Fixed length | NOT APPLICABLE |
| | | Scaled to channel width | NOT APPLICABLE |
| | LATERAL SPATIAL SCALE | Variable length | The method uses the concept of homogenous reaches, where present morphological conditions are sufficiently uniform; their identification is carried out during the initial phase of river segmentation |
| Channel | | All the channel bed is assessed | |
| | Banks/Riparian zones | Bank and riparian zones are included in the assessment | |
| | Floodplain | Floodplain (and terraces) is included in the assessment | |
| C - TEMPORAL SCALE | Physical and morphological assessment | Present conditions are assessed; historical analysis of channel adjustments (last 50 – 100 years) is performed | |
| | Hydrological assessment | Alteration of channel-forming discharges and/or flows with higher return period are evaluated | |
| D - TYPE OF METHOD | Characterization/classification | The method makes an initial classification/segmentation of river reaches relevant for the assessment procedure (4 steps: Physiographic units, Confinement, River Morphology, Longitudinal discontinuities) | |
| | Assessment by index | The IAM (Morphology Alteration Index) and the MQI (Morphology Quality Index) are calculated as result of the evaluation form; IAM = Stot/Smax (score tot/maximum score of alteration); MQI = 1-IAM (from 0 to 1) | |
| | Deviation from reference | The method measures the deviation from undisturbed or only very slightly disturbed geomorphic conditions | |
| | General assessment / Design framework | NOT APPLICABLE | |
| | Modelling status / Scenario | NOT APPLICABLE | |
| | Final expert judgment | The expert judgment of the authors was used several times in the method protocol and definition (selection of variables, indicators, classes, and scores) | |
| E - REFERENCE CONDITIONS | Links with other systems | The method is part of the methodology IDRAIM (system for stream hydromorphological assessment, analysis, and monitoring) which aims to an integrated analysis of morphological quality and channel dynamics hazard | |
| | | Theoretical reference conditions are defined (by expert judgement of authors) as: (a) full functionality of geomorphic processes; (b) absence or negligible presence of artificial elements along the reach and to some extent in the catchment; (c) absence of significant adjustments due to channel instability (configuration, width, bed elevation) over a temporal frame of about 100 years | |
| F - GENERAL INFORMATION | RIVER TYPOLOGY | River reaches are defined on the basis of a hierarchical classification process which considers mainly physical characters: physiographic units, confinement, river morphology, and other river discontinuities | |
| | TYPOLOGY LIMITATIONS | The method potentially applies to all stream types (but verification over EU and non-EU country is recommended) | |
| | TYPE-SPECIFIC (Protocol / Assessment method) | The method provides two different evaluation protocols for confined and partly confined/unconfined channels | |
| | BASIS FOR STANDARDS / THRESHOLDS | Thresholds, as well as reference conditions, are defined by expert judgment of the authors. For each indicators, in most cases, 3 classes are used: class A = reference conditions (value = 0 = no alteration), class B = intermediate conditions (variable scores); class C = completely altered conditions (variable scores depending on the importance assigned to each indicators, generally 5 or 6). A degree of confidence and a second choice can be also assigned to each indicator (and used to define a range of final MQI value). MQI classes: high, MQI>0.85; good, MQI=0.7÷0.85; moderate, MQI= 0.5÷0.7; poor, MQI=0.3÷0.5; bad, MQI=0÷0.3 | |
| | REACH SCALE SURVEY STRATEGY | The reach represents the basic spatial unit, maps and remote sensing are used for the reach scale assessment; field survey is carried out along a representative sub-reach | |
| | TIMING AND FREQUENCY | Authors indicate that the duration of the survey depends upon the background of the surveyor. Frequency: not indicated, but for WFD monitoring every 3-6 years | |
| | DATA PRESENTATION (OUTPUT/LAYOUT) | Field forms; classification in quality classes (several outputs); 2 main indices (quality and alteration) and several sub-indices (vertical, horizontal sub-indices) | |
| | METHOD SUPPORT / APPLICATION TOOLS | A guidebook is provided (with field forms and guidance for compilation) | |
| | SPATIAL COMPARISON | The method could be used to make comparison at least among Italian rivers. It could potentially be used in other EU and non-EU countries but verifications/calibrations are needed to check whether the method covers the full range of physical conditions and morphological types | |
| | CONNECTION TO ECOLOGY | NOT APPLICABLE (but indirectly some information is provided through the assessment of large wood, substrate alterations, etc.) | |
| USERS | Environmental or water agencies, managers, scientists, with training and adequate background (fluvial geomorphology) | | |
| SCALE INFORMATION | The method uses a hierarchical nested approach; it gives information at large (river type classification) and reach (status classification) scales | | |
| NUMBER OF END PARAMETERS | The method assesses 28 indicators divided into 3 main components: F, functionality (13 indicators); A, artificiality (12 indicators); V, channel changes (3 indicators) | | |

3. RECORDED FEATURES

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| A - CATCHMENT / VALLEY | LARGE SCALE CHARACTERISTICS | Large scale characteristics are investigated at Steps 1 and 2 of the initial segmentation phase: geology, geomorphology, climate and land use The method takes into account only hydrological aspects which have influence on morphological processes => alterations of channel-forming discharges |
| | HYDROLOGICAL REGIME | Hydrological conditions Metrics of hydrological regime Hydro-peaking NOT APPLICABLE NOT APPLICABLE |
| B - CHANNEL | VALLEY FORM / FEATURES | Valley slope is considered; valley form partially assessed in term of confinement |
| | CHANNEL PATTERN / PLANFORM | Channel pattern and planform characters are used in the Step 3 of the initial segmentation (definition of river morphology) |
| | CHANNEL FORMS | Following the reach pattern type, the presence of expected forms is assessed |
| | BED CONFIGURATION | Bed configuration is assessed for a further classification of river morphology in steep, confined rivers (but it does not affect river segmentation). Bed configuration is also used in one indicator for confined streams |
| | CHANNEL DIMENSIONS | Channel width is required and is taken into account in the assessment of some indicators |
| | FLOW-TYPE PHYSICAL / HYDRAULIC VARIABLES | NOT APPLICABLE NOT APPLICABLE |
| | SUBSTRATE | The alteration of channel bed is assessed (e.g. armouring, clogging, bedrock outcropping bed revetments) |
| C - RIVER BANKS/ RIPARIAN ZONE | IN-CHANNEL VEGETATION | NOT APPLICABLE |
| | WOODY DEBRIS | The presence of in-channel large woods is assessed as well as wood removal practices |
| | ARTIFICIAL FEATURES AND STRUCTURES | Many types of artificial features and structures are considered in the assessment of artificiality, including alteration of discharge (spillway, diversions, retention catchments), as well as alteration of sediment transport (check dams, weirs, diversion structures, etc.). The presence and frequency of crossing structures which interfere with the fluvial corridor is also assessed (bridges, fords, culverts) |
| | BANK PROFILE / SHAPE | Bank profile/shape is assessed in terms of expected variability of the cross section for the river reach type |
| | BANK MATERIAL | NOT APPLICABLE |
| | RIPARIAN VEGETATION STRUCTURE | The riparian vegetation structure is assessed within the evaluation of the width of functional vegetation |
| | LONGITUDINAL CONTINUITY OF RIPARIAN VEGETATION | The linear extension of functional vegetation along the banks is assessed |
| D - FLOODPLAIN | RIPARIAN VEGETATION WIDTH | The width of functional vegetation is assessed in relation to its natural expected presence |
| | VEGETATION COMPOSITION, COVERAGE AND OTHER RIPARIAN VEGETATION CHARACTERISTICS | NOT APPLICABLE |
| | ARTIFICIAL FEATURES AND STRUCTURES | Presence, position and longitudinal continuity of banks protections and artificial levees is assessed |
| | LAND USE | Land use on the banks and riparian zone is indirectly assessed by the indicators of riparian vegetation (e.g. presence and width of functional riparian vegetation, management of riparian vegetation) |
| D - FLOODPLAIN | FLUVIAL FORMS | In lowland, low energy river reaches, the presence of expected landforms in the floodplain (oxbow lakes, secondary channels, etc.) is assessed |
| | INFO ON FLOODPLAIN FEATURES | The presence and extension of a 'modern' floodplain is assessed; the width of the whole floodplain (modern floodplain and recent terraces) is considered in the degree of confinement and in the evaluation of the potentially erodible corridor |
| | LAND USE | Floodplain land use is indirectly assessed by the indicators of riparian vegetation |

4. RIVER PROCESSES

| | | |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| A - LONGITUDINAL CONTINUITY | Sediment and wood | Longitudinal continuity in sediment and wood flux are indirectly assessed based on the presence of transversal structures, as well as the upstream alteration of sediment discharges |
| | Water flow | The longitudinal continuity alteration of channel-forming discharge is assessed both at reach and larger scales |
| B - LATERAL CONTINUITY | Lateral hydraulic continuity | The lateral hydraulic continuity is assessed through the presence of a 'modern' floodplain |
| | Sediment (and wood) lateral continuity | The lateral continuity of sediment ad fluxes is assessed through the presence of a 'modern' floodplain, the potentially erodible corridor and, for confined channels, through the connectivity between the river corridor and its hillslopes |
| C - BANK EROSION / STABILITY | | Processes of bank retreat are assessed as important for sediment supply and recovery |
| E - CHANNEL ADJUSTMENTS | Planimetric (pattern & width) | Historical changes in channel pattern are evaluated, as well as historical changes in channel width. Artificial changes of channel courses are also evaluated (meander cut-off, channel diversions, etc.) |
| | Vertical | Data from topographic surveys (cross-section and longitudinal profiles, past and present) are used to assess vertical adjustments, given their importance in several river processes (floodplain connectivity, in-channel habitats, etc.) |
| F - VERTICAL CONTINUITY | Groundwater connection | The presence of bed-revetments, which alter the vertical continuity, is assessed |

5. APPLICATION TO WFD

| | |
|--|--|
| OFFICIAL METHOD (WFD implementation) / COMMONLY USED METHOD (not compulsory) | The method has been designed to comply with WFD requirements; it has been formally approved for application (at least) to all water bodies in high state. It could be used for other purposes in river management |
| APPLICATION TO ALL WATER BODIES USED IN THE CLASSIFICATION OF HIGH-STATUS / OTHER STATUS CLASSES | It is also applied for the designation of HMWBs (in progress) It could be used in the classification of any status |
| USED TO PREDICT RISK OF DETERIORATION | It can be used to predict risk of deterioration since it assesses past and present human impacts and separates artificiality from functionality and instability |
| USED TO IDENTIFY IMPROVEMENT TARGETS | It can be used to identify improvement targets, starting from the assessment of the quality and the alteration states and because it separates artificiality from functionality |
| USED TO HELP IDENTIFY CAUSE OF ECOLOGICAL IMPACTS | If properly linked to ecological data it can be used to identify ecological impacts, given that it makes a detailed analysis of impact (artificiality) |
| KEY STRENGTHS FOR RIVER MANAGEMENT | The method has been developed to be used by environmental or water agencies on a national level; it evaluates processes and takes into account the temporal context/changes; the protocol aims to an assessment of morphological conditions rather than a features inventorying. It defines different protocols for lowland unconfined and confined rivers |