1 - METH	OD BA	CKGROUND		
NAME OR	CODE			DHQI - Danish Habitat Quality Index
COUNTRY	DENCE			Denmark Padarson & Bratisin Padarson (2003), Padarson et al. (2006)
KEY REFER				Pedersen & Baatrup-Pedersen (2003); Pedersen et al. (2006) http://www.dmu.dk/nyheder/artikel/forslag_til_fvsisk_indeks_for_vandloeb/
				The method has been formerly developed to add components of physical habitat to
CATEGORY				environmental impact/state assessment and setting target in catchment plans
2 - METH	OD CH	ARACTERISTIC	CS	Describe and the following for a least constant to the
A - SOURCE OF INFORMATION / DATA COLLECTION			Maps/Remote sensing	Remote sensing information (e.g. land cover, geology etc.) is collected, in the former version, during the first part of the method protocol ("Site protocol") which aims to characterize the survey site. However they don't enter in the assessment index
			Field survey	The "assessment protocol" consists in classifying features based on their presence or frequency. Features are assessed using 3 classes of frequency; parameters are the same in the former and recent versions (in the former version, some features of the "site protocol" were recorded during the field survey and entered in the index calculation). Field parameters are separated into 3 categories: reach, in-stream and substrate parameters
			Rapid field assessment Existing database Modelling	The method makes use of a rapid field assessment protocol NOT APPLICABLE NOT APPLICABLE
		ACHICAL	River catchment/Water body/	The index assesses the physical habitat quality at the reach scale
В -	LONG	IAL SCALE SITUDINAL IAL SCALE	Reach/Cross Section Fixed length Scaled to channel width Variable length	The length to be assessed is 100 meter for small rivers, and 200 m for large rivers NOT APPLICABLE NOT APPLICABLE
SPATIAL SCALE			Channel	Channel features are recorded for the most part during the field "assessment protocol"
-	LATE SCAL	RAL SPATIAL E	Banks/Riparian zones	Bank and riparian zone features are recorded for the most part during the filed "assessment protocol"
			Floodplain	Floodplain features (i.e. land use) are only recorded (but not assessed) up to 50 m of the riparian zone
C - TEMPORAL SCALE			Physical and morphological assessment	The method assesses the present state of a river reach NOT APPLICABLE
			Hydrological assessment Characterization/classification	The method characterizes the surveyed site through the "Site protocol" (at least in the former version)
			Assessment by index	The "assessment protocol" aims to obtain a final assessment index: 3 scores/intensity classes are possible for each parameters (4 in the former version). The score/intensity class is then weighted to the relative importance of the parameters. The final index is the sum of single sub-scores (given by the product
D - TYPE OF METHOD		HOD	Deviation from reference General assessment / Design	between intensity and weight). The final index generates 5 habitat quality classes NOT AVAILABLE
			framework	NOT APPLICABLE
			Modelling status / Scenario Final expert judgment	NOT APPLICABLE NOT APPLICABLE
			Links with other systems	The method is used in the National Monitoring Programme
E - REFERI	ENCE C	CONDITIONS	•	The method refers to known reference sites but it is unclear how the reference conditions for the physical environment have been established. Data on reference
		DI//ED T//2010	CV.	sites have been used to set-up limits between quality classes The method relates to a river typology in according to the implementation of the
		RIVER TYPOLOGY		Water Framework Directive (System A) The method is applicable to lowland river types (small and large rivers). The first
	TYPOLOGY LIM		ITATIONS	version of the method was limited only to small lowland rivers. Probably it cannot be applied to large rivers where high flow depth prevents the assessment of bed conditions
			(Protocol / Assessment method)	The method applies the same protocol to small and large rivers; the only difference is the length of the assessed reach (100/200 m) Data on reference and disturbed sites have been collected to set-up quality classes.
		BASIS FOR STANDARDS / THRESHOLDS		The final score ranges from -12 to 63: $-12\div0$ bad; $0\div13$ poor; $14\div25$ fair; $26\div38$ good; >38 high
		REACH SCALE SURVEY STRATEGY		A representative site is selected and assessed along all the defined length (100 or 200 m)
		TIMING AND FREQUENCY		The method has been developed to limit the time spent in the field to a maximum of one hour
F - GENERATINFORMATI		DATA PRESENTATION (OUTPUT/LAYOUT)		Main characteristics and the evaluation results are inserted into a GIS database; photos are also compiled for each surveyed reach The survey data and the evaluation results are documented in standardised forms
		METHOD SUPPO	ORT / APPLICATION TOOLS	and field maps. The site protocol is accompanied by a protocol with description of parameters (by graphs, pictures and drawings)
		SPATIAL COMPARISON		Parameters in the habitat index are assessable in most wadable streams, therefore the evaluation of the physical habitat quality can be carried out for different types of lowland streams
		CONNECTION TO ECOLOGY		The connection to ecology is not direct but the method is used in National Monitoring Programme for rivers and stream. The method could potentially evaluate habitat changes (info on substrates, flow velocity, riffle-pool, etc.)
		USERS		Field training is required but no accreditation procedures have been implemented
		SCALE INFORMATION		Information is collected at both large and local spatial scales, but only reach-scale features/information are used to calculate the assessment index
		NUMBER OF EN	D PARAMETERS	Formerly: 20 parameters collected through the "site protocol" (map/remote sensing and field); 17 parameters collected into the field during the "assessment protocol"; 25 parameters entered formerly in the assessment index. The recent development of Pedersen et al. (2006) indicates 17 parameters into the final index

3. RECORDED FEATURES						
-	LARGE SCALE CHARACTERISTICS		In the "site protocol": stream order, geology, catchment area, distance to source, soil type, altitude, highest/lowest catchment points, catchment organic pollution,			
A - CATCHMENT / VALLEY	HYDROLOGICA	Hydrological conditions Metrics of hydrological regime	weed cutting – at present, etc. NOT APPLICABLE NOT APPLICABLE			
	L REGIME	Hydro-peaking	NOT APPLICABLE			
	VALLEY FORM /		River valley form ("site protocol")			
	CHANNEL PATTERN / PLANFORM		General "channel plan form" was recorded only in the "site protocol" in the former version (classes) but in the recent version it is assessed in the reach section; meandering is recorded in both versions			
	CHANNEL FORMS		NOT APPLICABLE			
	BED CONFIGURATION		Riffles and pools are assessed			
B - CHANNEL	CHANNEL DIMENSIONS		Stream width (during the "site protocol"); Variation in depth (only in the former version); Variation in width			
	FLOW-TYPE		High energy flow velocity NOT APPLICABLE			
	PHYSICAL / HYDRAULIC VARIABLES SUBSTRATE		Coverage of stones/gravel/sand/mud on stream bed			
	IN-CHANNEL VE	GETATION	Both emergent and submerged vegetation are recorded			
	WOODY DEBRIS		Presence of LWD and large stones (only in the former version); Roots in the stream			
	ARTIFICIAL FEATURES AND STRUCTURES		In the recent version physical variations are recorded in the in-stream section			
	BANK PROFILE / SHAPE		Cross section is assessed			
	BANK MATERIAL RIPARIAN VEGETATION STRUCTURE		NOT APPLICABLE NOT APPLICABLE			
	LONGITUDINAL CONTINUITY OF RIPARIAN					
C - RIVER BANKS/	VEGETATION		NOT APPLICABLE			
RIPARIAN	RIPARIAN VEGETATION WIDTH		Width of natural vegetation in the riparian areas			
ZONE	VEGETATION COMPOSITION, COVERAGE AND OTHER RIPARIAN VEGETATION CHARACTERISTICS		NOT APPLICABLE			
	ARTIFICIAL FEATURES AND STRUCTURES LAND USE		Indirectly assessed through the evaluation of the cross section NOT APPLICABLE			
	FLUVIAL FORMS		NOT APPLICABLE			
D -	INFO ON FLOODPLAIN FEATURES		NOT APPLICABLE			
FLOODPLAIN	LAND USE		Land use in the river valley up to 50 m of distance from the stream (% of 12 classes, through the "site protocol" in the former version)			
4. RIVER PROC	ESSES					
A - LONGITUDINA	AL CONTINUITY	Sediment and wood Water flow	NOT APPLICABLE NOT APPLICABLE			
		Lateral hydraulic continuity	NOT APPLICABLE			
B - LATERAL CON	ITINUITY	Sediment (and wood) lateral continuity	This information could be in part obtained through knowledge of weed management (weed cutting – at present; changes in weed cutting procedure during past 5 years)			
C - BANK EROSION / STABILITY			Bank erosion is assessed in the "site protocol" in the former version and in the reach section of the field protocol in the recent version			
E - CHANNEL ADJUSTMENTS Planimetric (pattern & width) Vertical			NOT APPLICABLE NOT APPLICABLE			
F - VERTICAL CO	NTINUITY	Groundwater connection	NOT APPLICABLE NOT APPLICABLE			
5. APPLICATIO						
OFFICIAL METHO	D (WFD impleme	entation) / COMMONLY USED	The method is the evolution of the Aahrus method (Kaarup, 1999). The method is officially used in the National Monitoring programme for rivers and streams. In the			
METHOD (not compulsory)			recent version of Pedersen et al. (2006), the author suggests that the new index should be included as a quality element in the implementation of WFD The method applies only to lowland streams and rivers given that it has been			
APPLICATION TO			developed for Danish water bodies; it does apply neither to HMWBs nor to AWBs			
USED IN THE CLA CLASSES	ASSIFICATION OF	F HIGH-STATUS / OTHER STATUS	NOT AVAILABLE			
USED TO PREDIC			NOT APPLICABLE			
USED TO IDENTI USED TO HELP II KEY STRENGTHS	DENTIFY CAUSE O	OF ECOLOGICAL IMPACTS	Indirectly, given that the method is used in the national monitoring programme NOT APPLICABLE (given that pressures are not deeply assessed) Easy and rapid to apply			